Functional Analysis

Matias G. Delgadino

University of Oxford

May 7, 2020

Email: Matias.Delgadino@maths.ox.ac.uk, Office: S2.23

Functional Analysis

The space of test functions

Given $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we consider the topological vector space $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$, with the following notion of convergence: Given $\{\varphi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we say that

$$\varphi_n \to^{\mathcal{D}} \varphi_s$$

if

• All the supports of φ_n are contained in the same compact set

 $\bigcup supp \varphi_n \subset K \subset \Omega$

• φ_n and all its derivatives converge uniformly to φ :

$$\|D^{\alpha}\varphi_{n}-D^{\alpha}\varphi\|_{\infty}\to 0 \qquad \forall \alpha.$$

Definition $T : C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a distribution if T is linear. For every $K \subset \subset \Omega$, exists C(K) > 0 and $N(k) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|T(\varphi)| \leq C \sup_{|\alpha| \leq N} ||D^{\alpha}\varphi||_{\infty} \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(K).$

Remark: We say that a distribution T is of order N_0 if the same N_0 serves for all compact sets: $T \in \mathcal{D}'_{N_0}(\Omega)$.

Why do we care about distributions:

- The space C_c^{∞} is one of the tiniest spaces we can consider, hence it's dual is huge.
- In the same spirit as measures, they have good compactness properties.
- We can define the dual of all the continuous linear mappings from D into itself. Like derivatives, convolution (mollificaiton) and Fourier transform.

Definition (Distributional Derivative)
Given
$$T \in D'$$
, we define $D^{\alpha}T \in D'$ by
 $D^{\alpha}T(\varphi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|}T(D^{\alpha}\varphi).$

Remark: Derivatives commute, because they commute for C^{∞} functions.

Examples:

- The derivative of the Heavyside function is the delta.
- We can take finite derivatives of a delta.

There is a highlighted set within distributions, which is called **tempered distributions** denoted by $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is the dual of the Schwartz class $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This is the class of rapidly decreasing functions

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ \varphi \in C^\infty : \sup_x ||x|^k D^\alpha f(x)| < \infty \forall \alpha \& k \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

Tempered Distributions

The main point to define this class is that it is preserved under the Fourier transform:

$$\mathcal{F}:\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)\to\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\langle x,\xi
angle} \varphi(x) \ dx$$

By duality we can extend it to $\mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{D}'$.

By mollification arguments we notice that it coincides with the traditional version, when the Fourier transform is classically defined, e.g. $T \in L^2$

Functional Analysis

Fourier transform

Properties:

• Given a tempered distribution $T \in \mathcal{S}'$

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(T))=T_{-}.$$

Moreover, it is invertible

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(T)))) = T.$$

Plancherel, given function $f, g \in L^1_{loc}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{F}(f) \mathcal{F}(g) \ d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} fg \ dx$$

Therefore,

$$\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_2 = \|f\|_2$$

Fourier Transform

 Derivatives become multiplication and multiplication becomes derivatives

$$\mathcal{F}(D^{\alpha}T) = C_{\alpha}\xi^{\alpha}\mathcal{F}(T).$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}(x^{\alpha}T)=C_{\alpha}^{-1}D^{\alpha}\mathcal{F}(T).$$

Theorem

Every spatially homogeneous linear PDE has a distributional solution.

Fourier transform

We can also use this to measure regularity:

Definition

We say that a function $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ belongs to the Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if and only if,

$$\|f\|_{H^1}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|\xi|^2) |\mathcal{F}(f)|^2 \ d\xi < \infty.$$

By Plancherel's and property of derivatives, this equivalent to

$$f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
 & $\nabla f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$

where ∇f stands for the distributional gradient.

Sobolev spaces allow to measure regularity in an integral way versus pointwise bounds for C^{α} .

They are also a great way to obtain compactness:

Theorem

```
Given a tight sequence in \{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^2. If
```

 $\sup \|f_i\|_{H^1} < \infty$

then $\{f_i\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ admits a strongly convergent subsequence in L^2 .

Proof.

Theorem (Riesz-Kolmogorov) For $1 \le p < \infty$, a family $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is pre-compact in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, iff, It is Bounded $\sup \|f_i\|_{L^2} < \infty.$ It is equi-continuous: $\sup \|f_i(\cdot + h) - f_i(\cdot)\|_{L^2} \to 0.$ It is tight: for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\sup_{I}\int_{K^c}|f_i|^2\leq\varepsilon.$$

As we are assuming **tightness**, we need to show **boundedness** and **equicontinuity**.

Boundedness follows from the following inequality and assumption

 $\sup \|f_i\|_{L^2} \leq \sup \|f_i\|_{H^1} < \infty$

Checking equicontinuity, we need to look at

$$\|f_i(\cdot + h) - f_i(\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 = \int \left|e^{-i2\pi h\xi} - 1\right|^2 |\mathcal{F}(f_i)|^2 d\xi,$$

where we have used the formula for the Fourier transofom of a transaltion and Plancherel's.

So we need to show that

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\int \left|e^{-i2\pi h\xi}-1\right|^2|\mathcal{F}(f_i)|^2 \ d\xi\to 0$$

uniformly in $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

We separate the integral into the close field and far field

$$\int \left| e^{-i2\pi h\xi} - 1 \right|^2 |\mathcal{F}(f_i)|^2 \ d\xi =$$
$$\int_{|\xi| < L} \left| e^{-i2\pi h\xi} - 1 \right|^2 |\mathcal{F}(f_i)|^2 \ d\xi + \int_{|\xi| > L} \left| e^{-i2\pi h\xi} - 1 \right|^2 |\mathcal{F}(f_i)|^2 \ d\xi$$

For the first integral we use

$$\left|e^{-i2\pi h\xi}-1
ight|^2\lesssim h^2|\xi|^2$$

and for the second integral

$$e^{-i2\pi h\xi}-1\Big|^2\leq 4.$$

and

$$\int_{|\xi|>L} |\mathcal{F}(f_i)|^2 \ d\xi \leq \frac{1}{L^2} \int_{|\xi|>L} |\xi|^2 |\mathcal{F}(f_i)|^2 \ d\xi \leq \frac{1}{L^2} \leq \frac{\|f_i\|_{H^1}^2}{L^2}.$$

Putting all the inequalities together we obtain

$$\|f_i(\cdot + h) - f_i(\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \left(L^2 h^2 + \frac{1}{L^2}\right) \|f_i\|_{H^1}^2,$$

which is enough to show the desired equi-continuity.

Remark: We only need tightness of the Fourier transform to make the proof work. In particular, we do not need a full derivative in L^2 by any positive $\alpha > 0$ is enough, i.e. bounded sets of H^{α} are pre-compact up to tightness.

Functional Analysis

Sobolev Spaces

We begin with the general definition:

Definition

A function $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ is sais to be weakly differentiable in the direction x_i , if there exists $g \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ such that

$$-\int_{\Omega} f\partial_1 \varphi \ dx = \int_{\Omega} g\varphi \ dx \qquad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega).$$

We say that g is the weak derivative of f.

Remark: Weak derivatives coincide with strong derivatives when $f \in C^1(\Omega)$. In general, we denote ∇f to be the weak/distributional gradient of f, even when this is only a distribution.

We can also define higher order weak derivatives.

Definition

Given and open set Ω , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$. We say that $f \in W^{k,p}$, if f is k-times weakly differentiable and $D^{\alpha}f \in L^p(\Omega)$ for every $|\alpha| \leq k$. And we define the norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{k,p}}^{p} := \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} \|D^{\alpha}f\|_{L^{p}}^{p}.$$

Variants:

We can take the homogeneous space, defined by it's norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{k,p}}^{p} := \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|D^{\alpha}f\|_{L^{p}}^{p}.$$

Locally integrable:

$$\|f\|^p_{W^{k,p}_{loc}} := \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} \|D^{\alpha}f\|^p_{L^p_{loc}}.$$

Similar to L^1 , it is better if we replace the condition that the weak derivative is in $L^1(\Omega)$ by $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$

$$W^{1,1}(\Omega) \subset BV(\Omega) = \{f \in L^1 : \nabla f \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)\}.$$

Notation: For p = 2, we denote $W^{k,2} = H^k$ and for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ it is equivalent to the Fourier transform definition.

Theorem

If Ω has a smooth boundary or it is convex, then

 $\|f\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \sim \|f\|_{Lip}.$

Remark: Pacman domain example that it doesn't work in general.

Remark: For bounded domains $L^{p}(\Omega) \subset L^{q}(\Omega)$ if $p \leq q$, which implies

 $W^{k,p}(\Omega) \subset W^{k,q}(\Omega).$

Remark: We can try to understand how regular functions in $W^{k,p}$ are by looking at radial singularities:

$$|x|^s \in W^{k,p}_{loc}$$
 if and only if $s > n - \frac{k}{p}$.

Remark: χ_{B_1} does not belong to $W^{1,p}$ for any p, but belongs to BV. Similar for any other smooth domain.

Remark: If $f, g \in W^{1,p}$, then max $\{f, g\} \in W^{1,p}$. Moreover, $|f| \in W^{1,p}$ and

$$\nabla |f| = \frac{f}{|f|} \nabla f \in L^p.$$

Theorem

For any open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in [0, \infty]$, the space $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space.

- If $1 \le p < \infty$ it is separable.
- If 1 it reflexible.
- If p = 2 it is a Hilbert space.

Proof.

```
Show that W^{k,p} is a closed subspace of L^p.
```

We can ask ourselves what happens in 1-d.

Theorem $f \in W^{1,p}((0,1))$ if only if f is p Absolutely Continuous (A.C.(p)), i.e. exists $g \in L^p((0,1))$ such that

$$|f(t)-f(s)|\leq \int_t^s g(u)\ du.$$

Remark: $W^{1,p}((0,1)) \subset C^0([0,1])$, note that we can even define it's value up to the boundary.

More classical definition:

Definition

 $f \in C^0((0,1))$ is A.C. (1), if and only if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every disjoint $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ such that $\sum |b_i - a_i| < \delta$ implies

$$\sum |f(b_i) - f(a_i)| < \varepsilon.$$

Higher dimensional Sobolev spaces have similar (weaker) properties

Theorem

Let Ω be open and bounded, and $p \in [1, \infty]$. Let $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, then $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, if and only if, f has a representative such that for each $j \in 1, ..., n$ the function

$$t \rightarrow f_j(x', t) = f(x_1, ..., x_{j-1}, t, x_{j+1}, ..., x_n)$$

is A.C. for \mathcal{L}^{n-1} -a.e. for $(x_1,...,x_{j-1},x_{j+1},...,x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, where defined and

$$\partial_t f_t \in L^p(\Omega).$$

Remark: For example f = x/|x| is $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$.

We know that smooth functions are dense.

Theorem (Meyers-Serrin '64)

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $C^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is dense in $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$.

Remark: If Ω is not bounded then C^{∞} is not contained in $W^{k,p}$.

Remark: Pacman domain shows that we can't approximate with continuity up to the boundary for general domains.

Theorem

If boundary of Ω is C^1 and $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is dense in $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$.

Proof.

The idea of the proof is to use a partition of unity to localize (and flatten) and then use mollification.

The guiding principle for proofs in Sobolev spaces is to prove it for smooth functions and the extend it by a density argument.

Functions in Sobolev Spaces can be evaluated in measure zero sets.

Theorem (Trace Theorem)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, bounded and with C^1 boundary. Then there exists a (unique) bounded linear operator

$$tr: W^{1,p}(\Omega) \to L^p(\partial\Omega)$$

so that

$$tr(u) = u|_{\partial\Omega} \qquad \forall u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Notation: We call tr(u) the trace of u onto the boundary.

Remark: The trace operator is not surjective.Example of the Laplace problem in a Domain.

Remark: The trace operator can not be extended in a continuous way to $L^{p}(\Omega)$.

The lack of a trace in L^p can be seen by the following. Given sets $\Omega \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ and $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ it can always be extended by zero on $\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \Omega$ to get $\tilde{f} \in L^p(\tilde{\Omega})$ that coincides with f in Ω . For $f \in W^{1,p}$ this is not good enough!

Theorem (Extension theorem)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, bounded and with C^1 boundary. Then for every $p \in [1, \infty]$ there exists (not unique) a bounded linear operator:

$$E: W^{k,p}(\Omega) \to W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

so that

$$Ef|_{\Omega} = f$$

Sketch of Proof.

Two steps prove the theorem for smooth functions and then extend it by continuity/density.

For the first part we can use partition of unity to localize and diffeomorphisms to flatten the boundary locally, reducing ourselves to half balls.

For trace theorem we need

$$\int_{B_1 \cap \{x_n = 0\}} |u|^p \, dx' \leq C ||u||_{W^{1,p}(B_1^+)} \qquad \forall u \in C^{\infty}(\overline{B_1^+}).$$

For extension theorem with k > 1 we need build an extension

$$E: C^{\infty}(\overline{B_1^+}) \to C^{\infty}(B_1)$$

satisfying

$$||Eu||_{W^{k,p}(B_1)} \leq C ||u||_{W^{k,p}(B_1^+)}$$

e.g.

$$Eu(x) = \begin{cases} u(x) & x \in \overline{B_1^+} \\ 4u(x', -x_n/2) - 3u(x', -x_n) & x \notin \overline{B_1^+} \end{cases}$$

or higher order approximations.

One of the most important subsets of a given Sobolev space is the zero trace class $W_0^{k,p}$:

Theorem

Let Ω be bounded with C^1 boundary. Then $f \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ has $tr(f) = 0 \in L^p(\Omega)$, if and only if, f can be approximated by compactly supported smooth functions $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Remark: So we can define $W_0^{k,p}$ in two different ways.

Remark: For $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, $W_0^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Based on the duality of L^p with L^q with dual exponents (1/p + 1/q = 1) we can characterize the dual of Sobolev spaces $W^{k,p}$:

Theorem

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $L \in (W_0^{k,p})^*(\Omega)$, if and only if, there exists $\{g_\alpha\}_{|\alpha| \leq k} \subset L^q(\Omega)$ such that

$$L(f)=\sum_{\alpha\leq k}\int g_{\alpha}D^{\alpha}f\ dx.$$

Notation:

$$(W_0^{k,p})^*(\Omega) = W^{-k,q}(\Omega)$$

and

$$(H_0^1)^* = H^{-1}.$$

Remark: The equivalence of the theorem is an isometric ishomorphism

$$\|L\|_{(W^{k,p}_0)^*(\Omega)} = \inf_{g_\alpha} \left(\sum_{\alpha \le k} \|g_\alpha\|_q^q \right)^{1/q}$$

To by pass regularity issues, it is usually good to work with difference quotients

$$\partial_i^h f(x) = \frac{f(x+e_ih) - f(x)}{h}$$
 & $\nabla^h f = (\partial_1^h f, ..., \partial_n^h)$

We can bound quotients by derivatives

Theorem Let $1 \le p < \infty$, and $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$, exists C > 0 such that $\|\nabla^h f\|_{L^p(\Omega')} \le C \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \quad \forall f \in W^{1,p}, \& h \in (0, dist(\partial\Omega, \Omega')).$

Proof.

By approximation and density.

Functional Analysis

Sobolev Spaces

Conversely, we can bound derivatives by quotients:

Theorem

Let $1 . Let <math>f \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$ be such that there exists $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$ satisfying

$$\sup_{0 < h < \varepsilon} \| \nabla^h f \|_{L^p(\Omega')} \infty$$

then $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega')$ with

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Omega')} \leq \sup \|\nabla^h f\|_{L^p(\Omega')}.$$

Proof.

Using Banach-Alaoglu compactness and the characterization of weak derivative. **Remark:** Not valid for p = 1.

We can apply this to show H^2 regularity to solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = f \in L^2(\Omega) \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

We can't test with $D^2 u$ because it is not a-priori a function, but we can always test with $(\partial_i^h)^2 u\varphi$ to show

$$\sup_{h}\int |(\partial_{i}^{h})\nabla u|^{2}\varphi < \infty$$

for any φ smooth bump function.